

$\phi(1680)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

 $\phi(1680)$ MASS **e^+e^- PRODUCTION**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1680±20 OUR ESTIMATE				
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1641 ⁺²⁴ ₋₁₈		ACHASOV	19 SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$
1667±5±11	3k	¹ IVANOV	19A CMD3	1.59–2.007 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
1700±23	2k	² ACHASOV	18A SND	1.3–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$
1674±12±6	6.2k	³ LEES	14H BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
1733±10±10		⁴ LEES	12F BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1689±7±10	4.8k	⁵ SHEN	09 BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1709±20±43		⁶ AUBERT	08S BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
1623±20	948	⁷ AKHMETSHIN	03 CMD2	1.05–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
~1500		⁸ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \omega\pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-$
~1900		⁹ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1700±20		¹⁰ CLEGG	94 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-, K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1657±27	367	BISELLO	91C DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1655±17		¹¹ BISELLO	88B DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
1680±10		¹² BUON	82 DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
1677±12		¹³ MANE	82 DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K\pi$

¹ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.² Assuming the $K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.$ dynamics. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.³ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.⁴ Using events with $\pi\pi$ invariant mass less than 0.85 GeV.⁵ From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.⁶ From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.⁷ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.⁸ Using data from IVANOV 81, BARKOV 87, BISELLO 88B, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.⁹ Using the data from BISELLO 91C.¹⁰ Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.¹¹ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$ assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitation.¹² From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0 K_L^0$, $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.¹³ Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

PHOTOPRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1753 ± 3	¹ LINK	02K	FOCS 20–160 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$
1726 ± 22	¹ BUSENITZ	89	TPS $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
1760 ± 20	¹ ATKINSON	85C	OMEG 20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K \bar{K} X$
1690 ± 10	¹ ASTON	81F	OMEG 25–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$

¹ We list here a state decaying into $K^+ K^-$ possibly different from $\phi(1680)$.

 $p\bar{p}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1700 ± 8	¹ AMSLER	06	CBAR 0.9 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ Could also be $\rho(1700)$.

 $\phi(1680)$ WIDTH **$e^+ e^-$ PRODUCTION**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
150 ± 50 OUR ESTIMATE				This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

103 ⁺²⁶ ₋₂₄		ACHASOV	19	SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \eta$
176 ± 23 ± 38	3k	¹ IVANOV	19A	CMD3	1.59–2.007 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
300 ± 50	2k	² ACHASOV	18A	SND	1.3–2.0 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$
165 ± 38 ± 70	6.2k	³ LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
300 ± 15 ± 37		⁴ LEES	12F	BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
211 ± 14 ± 19	4.8k	⁵ SHEN	09	BELL	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
322 ± 77 ± 160		⁶ AUBERT	08S	BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
139 ± 60	948	⁷ AKHMETSHIN	03	CMD2	1.05–1.38 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
300 ± 60		⁸ CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K \pi$
146 ± 55	367	BISELLO	91C	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
207 ± 45		⁹ BISELLO	88B	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
185 ± 22		¹⁰ BUON	82	DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
102 ± 36		¹¹ MANE	82	DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K \pi$

¹ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.

² Assuming the $K \bar{K}^*(892) + c.c.$ dynamics. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

³ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.

⁴ Using events with $\pi\pi$ invariant mass less than 0.85 GeV.

⁵ From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

⁶ From the simultaneous fit to the $K \bar{K}^*(892) + c.c.$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

⁷ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.

⁸ Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.

⁹ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$

¹⁰ From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, $K^+ K^-$, $K_S^0 K_L^0$, $K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.

¹¹ Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

PHOTOPRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
122±63	¹ LINK	02K	FOCS 20–160 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$
121±47	¹ BUSENITZ	89	TPS $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
80±40	¹ ATKINSON	85C	OMEG 20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K \bar{K} X$
100±40	¹ ASTON	81F	OMEG 25–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$

¹We list here a state decaying into $K^+ K^-$ possibly different from $\phi(1680)$.

 $\rho\bar{\rho}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
143±24	¹ AMSLER	06	CBAR 0.9 $\bar{\rho} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹Could also be $\rho(1700)$.

 $\phi(1680)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	seen
Γ_2 $K_S^0 K \pi$	seen
Γ_3 $K \bar{K}$	seen
Γ_4 $K_L^0 K_S^0$	
Γ_5 $e^+ e^-$	seen
Γ_6 $\omega \pi \pi$	not seen
Γ_7 $\phi \pi \pi$	
Γ_8 $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
Γ_9 $\eta \phi$	seen
Γ_{10} $K^+ K^- \eta$	
Γ_{11} $\eta \gamma$	seen
Γ_{12} $K^+ K^- \pi^0$	

 $\phi(1680)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into $e^+ e^-$ and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel (I) in $e^+ e^-$ annihilation. We list only data that have not been used to determine the partial width $\Gamma(I)$ or the branching ratio $\Gamma(I)/\text{total}$.

 $\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_4 \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
14.3±2.4±6.2	6.2k	¹ LEES	14H	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$

¹Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.

$\Gamma(\phi\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
------------------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.2±0.2±0.3	LEES	12F	BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
-------------	------	-----	---

 $\Gamma(\eta\phi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_9\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

94±13±15	3k	¹ IVANOV	19A	CMD3 1.59–2.007 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
----------	----	---------------------	-----	---

¹From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution. $\phi(1680) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

This combination of a branching ratio into channel (i) and branching ratio into e^+e^- is directly measured and obtained from the cross section at the peak. We list only data that have not been used to determine the branching ratio into (i) or e^+e^- .

 $\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.131±0.059	948	¹ AKHMETSHIN 03	CMD2	1.05–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
-------------	-----	----------------------------	------	--

¹From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known. Recalculated by us. $\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.15±0.16±0.01		¹ AUBERT	08S	BABR 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma +$
3.29±1.57	367	² BISELLO	91C	DM2 1.35–2.40 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.²Recalculated by us with the published value of $B(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)$. $\Gamma(\phi\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.86±0.14±0.21	4.8k	¹ SHEN	09	BELL 10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
----------------	------	-------------------	----	---

¹Multiplied by 3/2 to take into account the $\phi\pi^0\pi^0$ mode. Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6)\%$.

$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$5.64^{+1.74}_{-1.80}$		ACHASOV	19	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$
------------------------	--	---------	----	--

$5.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9$	3k	¹ IVANOV	19A	CMD3 $1.59-2.007 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
-----------------------	----	---------------------	-----	---

$4.3 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.9$		² AUBERT	08s	BABR $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta\gamma$
-----------------------	--	---------------------	-----	---

¹ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.

² From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08s using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

$\phi(1680)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(K_S^0 K \pi)$ Γ_1/Γ_2

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

dominant	MANE	82	DM1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
----------	------	----	--

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.07 ± 0.01	BUON	82	DM1 e^+e^-
-----------------	------	----	--------------

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_6/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

<0.10	BUON	82	DM1 e^+e^-
-------	------	----	--------------

$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

seen	35	¹ ACHASOV	14	SND $1.15-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
------	----	----------------------	----	---

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_9/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

≈ 0.37		¹ AUBERT	08s	BABR $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
----------------	--	---------------------	-----	---

¹ From the fit including data from AUBERT 07AK.

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

seen	35	¹ ACHASOV	14	SND $1.15-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
------	----	----------------------	----	---

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

$\phi(1680)$ REFERENCES

ACHASOV	19	PR D99 112004	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
IVANOV	19A	PL B798 134946	V.L. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ACHASOV	18A	PR D97 032011	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	14	PR D90 032002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
LEES	14H	PR D89 092002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	12F	PR D86 012008	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
SHEN	09	PR D80 031101	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(CBAR Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03	PL B551 27	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
Also		PAN 65 1222	E.V. Anashkin, V.M. Aulchenko, R.R. Akhmetshin	
		Translated from YAF 65 1255.		
LINK	02K	PL B545 50	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ACHASOV	98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BISELLO	91C	ZPHY C52 227	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BUSENITZ	89	PR D40 1	J.K. Busenitz <i>et al.</i>	(ILL, FNAL)
BISELLO	88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 46 132.		
ATKINSON	85C	ZPHY C27 233	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
BUON	82	PL 118B 221	J. Buon <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
MANE	82	PL 112B 178	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
ASTON	81F	PL 104B 231	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
IVANOV	81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
MANE	81	PL 99B 261	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)