

**$\rho(2150)$** 

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^-)$$

## OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

This entry was previously called  $T_1(2190)$ . See our mini-review under the  $\rho(1700)$ .

 **$\rho(2150)$  MASS** **$e^+e^-$  PRODUCED**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
2201 ± 19	<sup>1</sup> LEES	20 BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\gamma$
2227 ± 9 ± 9	<sup>2</sup> LEES	20 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
2039 ± 8 $\begin{smallmatrix} +36 \\ -18 \end{smallmatrix}$	<sup>3</sup> ABLIKIM	19AQ BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
2239.2 ± 7.1 ± 11.3	<sup>4</sup> ABLIKIM	19L BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
2254 ± 22	<sup>5</sup> LEES	12G BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
2150 ± 40 ± 50	AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1990 ± 80	AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
2153 ± 37	BIAGINI	91 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-$
2110 ± 50	<sup>6</sup> CLEGG	90 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-),$ $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

<sup>1</sup> From the fit to the BABAR data of LEES 13Q assuming a coherent sum of a single Breit-Wigner resonance and a nonresonant contribution. The resonance significance is  $3.5\sigma$ .

<sup>2</sup> From the fit to the BABAR data of LEES 13Q and BESIII data of ABLIKIM 19L assuming a coherent sum of a single Breit-Wigner resonance and a nonresonant contribution.

<sup>3</sup> Could also be another state. Seen in  $J/\psi$  decay with branching ratio  $J/\psi \rightarrow X\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0 = (6.7 \pm 1.1 \begin{smallmatrix} +2.2 \\ -1.8 \end{smallmatrix}) \times 10^{-6}$ .

<sup>4</sup> The observed structure can be due to both the  $\phi(2170)$  and  $\rho(2150)$ .

<sup>5</sup> Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$ , and  $\rho(2150)$  resonances as free parameters of the fit.

<sup>6</sup> Includes ATKINSON 85.

 **$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$** 

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
~ 2191	HASAN	94 RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2070	<sup>1</sup> OAKDEN	94 RVUE	0.36–1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 2170	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN	80B RVUE	
~ 2100	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN	80C RVUE	

<sup>1</sup> See however KLOET 96 who fit  $\pi^+\pi^-$  only and find waves only up to  $J = 3$  to be important but not significantly resonant.

<sup>2</sup>  $I(J^P) = 1(1^-)$  from simultaneous analysis of  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$  and  $\pi^0\pi^0$ .

**S-CHANNEL  $\bar{N}N$** 

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2110±35	<sup>1</sup> ANISOVICH	02 SPEC	0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$
~ 2190	<sup>2</sup> CUTTS	78B CNTR	0.97–3 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{N}N$
2155±15	<sup>2,3</sup> COUPLAND	77 CNTR	0.7–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$
2193± 2	<sup>2,4</sup> ALSPECTOR	73 CNTR	$\bar{p}p$ S channel
2190±10	<sup>5</sup> ABRAMS	70 CNTR	S channel $\bar{p}N$

<sup>1</sup> From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

<sup>2</sup> Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.

<sup>3</sup> From a fit to the total elastic cross section.

<sup>4</sup> Referred to as  $T$  or  $\bar{T}$  region by ALSPECTOR 73.

<sup>5</sup> Seen as bump in  $l = 1$  state. See also COOPER 68. PEASLEE 75 confirm  $\bar{p}p$  results of ABRAMS 70, no narrow structure.

 **$\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 n$** 

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2140±30	ALDE	95 GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 n$
2170±30	ALDE	92C GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 n$

 **$\rho(2150)$  WIDTH** **$e^+e^-$  PRODUCED**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
70 ± 38	<sup>1</sup> LEES	20 BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\gamma$
127 ± 14 ± 4	<sup>2</sup> LEES	20 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
196 ± 23 $\begin{smallmatrix} +25 \\ -27 \end{smallmatrix}$	<sup>3</sup> ABLIKIM	19AQ BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
139.8± 12.3±20.6	<sup>4</sup> ABLIKIM	19L BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
109 ± 76	<sup>5</sup> LEES	12G BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
350 ± 40 ± 50	AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
310 ± 140	AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
389 ± 79	BIAGINI	91 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-$
410 ± 100	<sup>6</sup> CLEGG	90 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-),$ $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

<sup>1</sup> From the fit to the BABAR data of LEES 13Q assuming a coherent sum of a single Breit-Wigner resonance and a nonresonant contribution. The resonance significance is  $3.5\sigma$ .

<sup>2</sup> From the fit to the BABAR data of LEES 13Q and BESIII data of ABLIKIM 19L assuming a coherent sum of a single Breit-Wigner resonance and a nonresonant contribution.

<sup>3</sup> Could also be another state. Seen in  $J/\psi$  decay with branching ratio  $J/\psi \rightarrow X\pi^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0 = (6.7 \pm 1.1^{+2.2}_{-1.8}) \times 10^{-6}$ .

<sup>4</sup> The observed structure can be due to both the  $\phi(2170)$  and  $\rho(2150)$ .

<sup>5</sup> Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$ , and  $\rho(2150)$  resonances as free parameters of the fit.

<sup>6</sup> Includes ATKINSON 85.

**$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$** 

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
•••	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••		
~ 296	HASAN	94	RVUE $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 40	<sup>1</sup> OAKDEN	94	RVUE 0.36–1.55 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi\pi$
~ 250	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN	80B	RVUE
~ 200	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN	80C	RVUE

<sup>1</sup>See however KLOET 96 who fit  $\pi^+\pi^-$  only and find waves only up to  $J = 3$  to be important but not significantly resonant.

<sup>2</sup> $I(J^P) = 1(1^-)$  from simultaneous analysis of  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$  and  $\pi^0\pi^0$ .

**S-CHANNEL  $\bar{N}N$** 

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
•••	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••		
$230 \pm 50$	<sup>1</sup> ANISOVICH	02	SPEC 0.6–1.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \omega\pi^0, \omega\eta\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-$
$135 \pm 75$	<sup>2,3</sup> COUPLAND	77	CNTR 0.7–2.4 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p}p$
$98 \pm 8$	<sup>3</sup> ALSPECTOR	73	CNTR $\bar{p}p$ S channel
~ 85	<sup>4</sup> ABRAMS	70	CNTR S channel $\bar{p}N$

<sup>1</sup>From the combined analysis of ANISOVICH 00J, ANISOVICH 01D, ANISOVICH 01E, and ANISOVICH 02.

<sup>2</sup>From a fit to the total elastic cross section.

<sup>3</sup>Isospins 0 and 1 not separated.

<sup>4</sup>Seen as bump in  $l = 1$  state. See also COOPER 68. PEASLEE 75 confirm  $\bar{p}p$  results of ABRAMS 70, no narrow structure.

 **$\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0n$** 

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
•••	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••		
$320 \pm 70$	ALDE	95	GAM2 38 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0n$
~ 300	ALDE	92C	GAM4 100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0n$

 **$\rho(2150)$  DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_j/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $e^+e^-$	
$\Gamma_2$ $\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3$ $K^+K^-$	seen
$\Gamma_4$ $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	seen
$\Gamma_5$ $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$	seen
$\Gamma_6$ $\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_7$ $f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_8$ $\omega\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_9$ $\omega\pi^0\eta$	seen
$\Gamma_{10}$ $p\bar{p}$	

$\rho(2150) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$ 

$$\Gamma(f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_7/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units $10^{-7}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>3.1 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.5</math></b>	<sup>1</sup> AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> Calculated by us from the reported value of cross section at the peak.

$$\Gamma(\eta'\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_6/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units $10^{-8}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$4.9 \pm 1.9$	<sup>1</sup> AUBERT	07AU BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<sup>1</sup> Calculated by us from the reported value of cross section at the peak. $\rho(2150)$  REFERENCES

LEES	20	PR D101 012011	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AQ	PR D100 032004	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19L	PR D99 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	13Q	PR D88 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ANISOVICH	02	PL B542 8	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01D	PL B508 6	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	01E	PL B513 281	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, LOQM, PNPI+)
KLOET	96	PR D53 6120	W.M. Kloet, F. Myhrer	(RUTG, NORD)
ALDE	95	ZPHY C66 379	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.) JP
HASAN	94	PL B334 215	A. Hasan, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
OAKDEN	94	NP A574 731	M.N. Oakden, M.R. Pennington	(DURH)
ALDE	92C	ZPHY C54 553	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, SERP, KEK, LANL+)
BIAGINI	91	NC 104A 363	M.E. Biagini <i>et al.</i>	(FRAS, PRAG)
CLEGG	90	ZPHY C45 677	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ATKINSON	85	ZPHY C29 333	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
MARTIN	80B	NP B176 355	B.R. Martin, D. Morgan	(LOUC, RHEL) JP
MARTIN	80C	NP B169 216	A.D. Martin, M.R. Pennington	(DURH) JP
CUTTS	78B	PR D17 16	D. Cutts <i>et al.</i>	(STON, WISC)
COUPLAND	77	PL 71B 460	M. Coupland <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, RHEL)
PEASLEE	75	PL 57B 189	D.C. Peaslee <i>et al.</i>	(CANB, BARI, BROW+)
ALSPECTOR	73	PRL 30 511	J. Alspector <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, UPNJ)
ABRAMS	70	PR D1 1917	R.J. Abrams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
COOPER	68	PRL 20 1059	W.A. Cooper <i>et al.</i>	(ANL)
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	