



$$I(J^P) = ?(??) \quad \text{Status: } ***$$

### $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ MASS

| VALUE (MeV)                          | EVTS               | DOCUMENT ID       | TECN      | COMMENT        |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------|
| <b><math>3621.2 \pm 0.7</math></b>   | <b>OUR AVERAGE</b> |                   |           |                |
| $3620.6 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3$     | 91                 | <sup>1</sup> AAIJ | 18BA LHCB | $pp$ at 13 TeV |
| $3621.40 \pm 0.72 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.14$ | 313                | <sup>2</sup> AAIJ | 17BC LHCB | $pp$ at 13 TeV |

<sup>1</sup> The third error in AAIJ 18BA value is from the uncertainty of the  $\Xi_c^+$  mass.

<sup>2</sup> The third error in AAIJ 17BC value is from the uncertainty of the  $\Lambda_c^+$  mass. The width of the signal is  $6.6 \pm 0.8$  MeV, consistent with the experimental resolution.

### $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ MEAN LIFE

| VALUE ( $10^{-15}$ s)    | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID | TECN     | COMMENT        |
|--------------------------|------|-------------|----------|----------------|
| $256^{+24}_{-22} \pm 14$ | 304  | AAIJ        | 18G LHCB | $pp$ at 13 TeV |

### $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ DECAY MODES

| Mode  | Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ ) |
|---|--------------------------------|
| $\Gamma_1 \quad \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$                    | seen                           |
| $\Gamma_2 \quad \Xi_c^+ \pi^+, \Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$ | seen                           |
| $\Gamma_3 \quad D^+ p K^- \pi^+$                                |                                |

| $\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ | $\Gamma_1/\Gamma$ |           |                     |
|---|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| VALUE   | DOCUMENT ID       | TECN      | COMMENT             |
| seen  | AAIJ              | 17BC LHCB | 12 std significance |

| $\Gamma(\Xi_c^+ \pi^+, \Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ | $\Gamma_2/\Gamma$ |             |           |                      |
|--|-------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------|
| VALUE  | EVTS              | DOCUMENT ID | TECN      | COMMENT              |
| seen   | 91                | AAIJ        | 18BA LHCB | 5.9 std significance |

| $\Gamma(\Xi_c^+ \pi^+, \Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$ | $\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$ |           |                          |
|--|---------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )   | DOCUMENT ID         | TECN      | COMMENT                  |
| $2.2 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.1$  | <sup>3</sup> AAIJ   | 18BA LHCB | Ratio 91 over 289 events |

<sup>3</sup> AAIJ 18BA reports  $[\Gamma(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^+, \Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)] / [B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+)] = (3.5 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$  which we multiply by our best value  $B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) = (6.28 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

| $\Gamma(D^+ \rho K^- \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$ |            |                    |             | $\Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$ |
|--|------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| <u>VALUE</u>   | <u>CL%</u> | <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> | <u>TECN</u> | <u>COMMENT</u>      |
| $<1.7 \times 10^{-2}$  | 90         | AAIJ               | 19AO LHCb   | $pp$ at 13 TeV      |

### $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ REFERENCES

|      |                     |                       |                |
|------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| AAIJ | 19AO JHEP 1910 124  | R. Aaij <i>et al.</i> | (LHCb Collab.) |
| AAIJ | 18BA PRL 121 162002 | R. Aaij <i>et al.</i> | (LHCb Collab.) |
| AAIJ | 18G PRL 121 052002  | R. Aaij <i>et al.</i> | (LHCb Collab.) |
| AAIJ | 17BC PRL 119 112001 | R. Aaij <i>et al.</i> | (LHCb Collab.) |